## School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2024/25 s.y. School-based Grant—Programme Report

Name of School: Delia	Men	<u>noria</u>	1 Scho	ool (Glee Path)	)				
Staff-in-charge: <u>CAI</u>	WE1	JIXI	J			Contact Tel	ephone No.: 2741 52	39	
<ul><li>A. The number of students (CSSA) recipients, B.</li><li>B. Information on subsidiants</li></ul>	69	fu	ll gra	ŕ	_		(including A17C c (SFA) Schemes and C5	-	•
*Name /Type of activity	Actual no. of participating eligible students #		Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc.)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)	
	A	В	С						
Tutorial services, learning skills training	9	93	55	90%	09/2024-08/2025	10,070	Attendance rate, test results	/	
Visits, art and cultural activities, sports	26	159	45	90%	09/2024-08/2025	58,510	Attendance rate	/	
Self-confidence development, volunteer services, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses	40	118	38	90%	09/2024-08/2025	35,220	Attendance rate	/	
Total no. of activities: 30									
@No. of man-times	75	370	138		Tall	103,800			
**Total no. of man-times	583				Total Expenses				

## Note:

- \* Types of activities are categorised as follows: tutorial services, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art and cultural activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer services, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.
- @ Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.
- \*\* Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)
- # Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), full grant under the SFA Schemes (B) and needy students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

## C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

Disease work a " / " against the west appropriate her		Improved			D 1: :	Not
Please put a "✓" against the most appropriate box.	Significant	Moderate	Slight	Change	Declining	Applicable
Learning Effectiveness						
a) Students' motivation for learning		✓				
b) Students' study skills		✓				
c) Students' academic achievement		✓				
d) Students' learning experience outside classroom	✓					
e) Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness		✓				
Personal and Social Development						
f) Students' self-esteem	✓					
g) Students' self-management skills		✓				
h) Students' social skills		✓				
i) Students' interpersonal skills		✓				
j) Students' cooperativeness with others	✓	✓				
k) Students' attitudes toward schooling		✓				
l) Students' outlook on life		✓				
m) Your overall view on students' personal and social		✓				
development						
Community Involvement				,	ı	
n) Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities		<b>√</b>				
o) Students' sense of belonging	✓					
p) Students' understanding on the community		<b>√</b>				
q) Your overall view on students' community involvement		✓				

## D. Comments on the project conducted

	unable to identify the eligible students (i.e. students receiving CSSA and full grant under the
	SFA Schemes);
	difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota;
	eligible students unwilling to join the programmes (Please specify the reason(s):
	the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;
	tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;
	the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;
	complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;
	the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;
	Others (Please specify):
•	you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they sfied with the service provided? (optional)